PCT

REC'D 2 6 MAY 2004

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

(Rationalised Report according to the Notice of the President of the EPO published in the OJ11/2001)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of Internation Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT)				national PCT/IPEA/416)
663957				
International application No.	International filing date (day)	month year)	Priority date (day month	(Jyeur)
PCT/JP03/10119	08/08/2003		10/08/2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC	1		
	CO7D215/22			
Applicant				
TANABE SEIYAKU CO., LTD.	et al			
This international preliminary exa Authority and is transmitted to the	e applicant according to Article	30.		ning
2. This REPORT consists of a total				
	nied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheet asis for this report and/or sheet 607 of the Administrative Instr			which have Authority
These annexes consists of a total	ofsheets.			
3. This report contains indications r	elating to the following items:			
I X Basis of the report				İ
II Priority	•			
III X Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to novelty,	inventive step an	d industrial applicability	
IV Lack of unity of inve				
V X Reasoned statement citations and explana	under Article 35(2) with regard t tions supporting such statement	o novelty, inventi	ive step or industrial appli	cability;
VI Certain documents of	ited			
VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application				
		_		
Date of submission of the demand	D	ate of completion		
06/10/2003		19/05,	/2004	obsches Patentamp
Name and mailing address of the IPEA	A	uthorized officer		<u>o</u>)))
European Patent Office		ELLIE B R	iets	
D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 5 Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	523656 epmu d	Cel. (+49-89) 239	9 2828 Save and a second secon	
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) P204	76 (October 2002)			Office europe

I. Basis of the report

The basis of this international preliminary examination is the application as originally filed.

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The question of whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step, or to be industrially applicable has not been the subject of the international preliminary examination in respect of the claims which have not been searched (Article 17(2)(a) or (3) and Rule 66.1(e) PCT); see also international search report).

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

To the extent that the international preliminary examination has been carried out (see item III above), the following is pointed out:

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in the independent claims, which have been the subject of an international search report, meets the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step and to be industrially applicable.